NEW YORK CITY HEALTH & HOSPITALS CORPORATION

NAME OF ACUTE CARE FACILITY: _

WITHHOLD/WITHDRAW LIFE SUSTAINING TREATMENT (WW) AND NON-RESUSCITATION (NR)
DOCUMENTATION FORM FOR ADULT PATIENTS

Do Not Use This Form For Mentally Retarded or Developmentally Disabled Patients. Special Rules Apply For Mentally III Patients [SEE BACK].

Patient Name:

Medical Record Number:

1 Determination of Patient's Dec	isional Capacity to Make Healthcare Decisions.				
		hoolth aara daajajaa	The sour	00*	
	I certainty, the patient lacks capacity to make this	nearth care decision	. The caus	se^	
and extent of the patient's incapac	city are:				
Incapacity is likely to be: [Check or	ne] (1) Temporary (2) Permanent (3)	Unknown			
	(a) (b) (c) (c) (c)				
		م م ما			
				am	
Attending Physician	Signature	Date	Time	pm	
		and		am	
Concurring Attending Physician	Signature	Date	Time	pm	
* If the cause of incapacity is mental illner		2 4 6		P	
2. Identification of Decision-Maker	6				
Decision-Maker Name	Address	Telephone Numb	per		
Relationship: [Check one] (1) Health Care Agent/Proxy [SKIP TO STEP 4].					
(2) FHCDA Surrogate [GO TO STEP 3, THEN CO			OMPLETE STEP 41		
	(2) THODA Suffogate [do 10 STE1 5, THE	Desision Maker")[CKI	T].	D E 1	
	(3) No Health Care Agent or Surrogate ("I			Poj.	
3. Decision-making Standard for P	atient Who Lacks Capacity and Has a Surrogate. [9	Check one Set of Crit	eria]		
CRITERIA A			_		
1. To a reasonable degree of	medical certainty:				
		aath within aiv mantl	aa whath	or or	
	Ilness or injury which can be expected to cause d	eath within six monti	is, whether	er or	
not treatment is pro	vided;				
OR					
(B) the patient is perma	nently unconscious:				
AND	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	traordinary burden to the patient.				
2. Heatinetit would be all ex	traditinary burden to the patient.				
<u>CRITERIA B</u>					
 To a reasonable degree of 	medical certainty the patient has an irreversible or	rincurable condition:			
AND	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,			
	t would involve auch nain auffaring or ather bu	urden that it would i	raaaanahl	v bo	
	t would involve such pain, suffering or other bu	rden that it would i	reasonabi	y be	
deemed inhumane or extra	aordinarily burdensome under the circumstances.				
		and _		am	
Attending Physician	Signature	Date	Time	pm	
	0	and		am	
Concurring Attending Physician	Signature	Date	Time		
Concurring Attenuing Physician	Signature	Date	Tittle	pm	
4. Consent of Decision-Maker.					
The decision-maker has participa	ted in a discussion of the patient's medical con-	dition as indicated [SEE BACK	(. 41.	
	chooses and consents to: [Check all that apply]			, 1,	
1 Non-resuscitation					
	order (NRO);				
AND/OR					
2 Withhold/Withdray	v Order (WWO) for the following interventions:			_	
· ·					
		and _		- am	
Attending Dhysisian	Cidnatura	Date	Time		
Attending Physician	Signature		mine	pm	
5. Threshold for Patient Without 0	Capacity and Without a Decision-Maker. [Check all	that apply]			
To enter a Non-resuscitation	on Order: I have determined, to a reasonable deg	ree of medical certa	inty, that	(i) in	
	e need for intubation, CPR or intubation would of				
	nently, even if the treatment is provided; AND (ii)				
under the circumstances would vi	olate accepted medical standards and would be a	an extraordinary burd	den to pat	ient.	
To order the withdrawal	or withholding of the following life-sustaining treat	ment:			
To order the manarawar	or warmending or the renowing me edecarming treat	, I have de	torminad	+o.o	
	tainty, that (i) the treatment would offer the patie				
patient will die imminently, ever	if the treatment is provided; and (ii) the prov	ision of the treatme	ent under	the	
circumstances would violate acces	oted medical standards and would be an extraordi	nary burden to the pa	atient.		
		and		am	
Attending Physician	Signature		Timo		
Attending Physician	Signature	Date	Time	pm	
		and _		am	
Concurring Attending Physician	Signature	Date	Time	pm	

PRACTITIONER GUIDE: FOR ADULTS WITHOUT DECISIONAL CAPACITY IN ACUTE CARE HOSPITAL

Appropriate use of the form for Withholding and Withdrawing Orders and Non-Resuscitation Orders

Practitioners should use this guide to address questions in implementing the WW/NR Form. The discussions are numbered to match the form.

Note #1: This Form and Guide are designed for acute care hospitals treating adult patients. **This form should not be used for a patient**: 1. who has a history of receiving services for mental retardation or a developmental disability; 2. where it reasonably appears that the patient has mental retardation or a developmental disability. Special rules apply where the attending physician has reason to believe that the patient has been transferred from a mental hygiene facility operated or licensed by the office of mental health (see below). Long term care facilities should use a form appropriate for these institutions.

Note #2: The Family Health Care Decisions Act [FHCDA] recognizes a valid Health Care Agent/Proxy as the first empowered substitute decision maker. In the case where the patient's wishes regarding the administration of artificial nutrition and hydration are not reasonably known and cannot with reasonable diligence be ascertained, such agent is not authorized to make such decisions. However, such person may be able to make such decisions as a surrogate under the FHCDA.

Note #3: Please refer to Risk Management and, where applicable, to Bioethics for consultation if: a) the patient disagrees or objects to the determination or decision; b) if there is a conflict involving members of the care team, patient or family; or c) there is a prior treatment decision by the patient.

1. Is the patient capable of making health care decisions?

Decisional capacity is not an on/off switch. It varies with the complexity and consequence of the decision. The more complicated and important the decision, the more capacity the patient needs to address the elements of the decision, the risks and the alternatives. In order to be capacitated the patient must be able to: (A) Engage with staff and evaluate information; (B) Apply personal values; and (C) Communicate a decision. Some patients may exhibit fluctuating capacity and may be capacitated at some times and not at others. This should be noted in the chart and staff should engage the patient at those times of greatest lucidity.

For Patients Who Lack Decision-Making Capacity Due to Mental Illness. If the attending physician makes a determination that the patient lacks capacity due to mental illness, the physician must have the following qualifications, or another physician with such qualifications must make an independent determination, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, whether the patient lacks decision-making capacity: the physician must be licensed in New York State and be a diplomate or eligible to be certified by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology, or certified by the American Osteopathic Board of Neurology and Psychiatry, or eligible to be certified by that Board.

2. Identification of decision-maker:

A. The priority list for decision maker is: 1. appointed Health Care Agent/Proxy; 2. legal guardian (review paperwork w/risk management); 3. spouse, if not legally separated from the patient, or domestic partner; 4. adult children; 5. parent; 6. adult siblings; 7. close friends which may include extended family members such as in-laws, cousins, etc., where the person has documented sufficiently their relationship to patient. (Note: Generally, NYS law does not recognize Common Law marriage but such person may qualify as a FHCDA surrogate by being a domestic partner or close friend.)

B. Notice of a determination that a Health Care Agent/Proxy will make health care decisions because the adult patient has

been determined to lack decision-making capacity shall promptly be given: (a) to the patient, orally and in writing, where there is any indication of the patient's ability to comprehend such notice; (b) to the Health Care Agent/Proxy; (c) if the patient is in or is "transferred" from a mental hygiene facility, to the facility director; and (d) to the conservator for, or committee of, the patient. Priority of the patient's decision: Notwithstanding a determination pursuant to this section that the patient lacks capacity to make health care decisions, where a patient objects to the determination of incapacity or to a health care decision made by a Health Care Agent, the patient's objection or decision shall prevail unless the patient is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to lack capacity to make health care decisions.

C. Notice of a determination that a surrogate under the FHCDA will make health care decisions because the adult patient has been determined to lack decision-making capacity shall promptly be given: (a) to the patient, where there is any indication of the patient's ability to comprehend the information; (b) to at least one person on the surrogate list highest in order of priority listed when persons in prior classes are not reasonably available; (c) if the patient was "transferred" from a mental hygiene facility (usually a State operated facility), to the director of that mental hygiene facility and to its office of mental hygiene legal service.

3. Standards when patients are without capacity and the decision maker is an FHCDA surrogate:

Medical care providers often ask patients to undergo pain and suffering for the benefit of greater health and well-being. However, there are times when medical intervention is not supportable. **Criteria A:** Treatment is likely to be an extraordinary burden when the benefits of the intervention are greatly outweighed by the burden of pain, suffering and distress and the intervention is unlikely to benefit the patient. **Criteria B:** When the patient has an irreversible or incurable condition and the contemplated intervention would cause harm by increasing suffering, go against standard medical practice, such care would be deemed inhumane. These factors together constitute inhumane treatment and make its foregoing morally supportable. It should be noted that palliative care is always an available option in the aforementioned instances.

4. Counseling the decision-maker:

I, as the attending physician have had a discussion with the decision-maker explaining the diagnosis and the prognosis, the alternative treatments and the risks and benefits of those treatments. I have encouraged questions and discussions and have asked questions about the patient's wishes, including the patient's religious and moral beliefs. I have helped the decisionmaker to think about the best interests of the patient if it is not clear what the patient would have wanted including considering: the dignity and uniqueness of every person; the possibility and extent of preserving the patient's life; the preservation, improvement or restoration of the patient's health or functioning; the relief of the patient's suffering; and any medical condition and such other concerns and values as a reasonable person in the patient's circumstances would wish to consider. I have been alert to supporting the decision-maker and shouldering the burden of this decision without disempowering the decision-maker. I emphasized that all measures of comfort for the patient will be provided. I understand that this is a difficult decision for the decision-maker and I am committed to helping this person to bear this burden without guilt.

5. Patients without a decision-maker:

Occasions for considering ad-hoc bioethics consultations:
Patients who are alone in the world have no non-medical advocates. Decisions about their care must be based upon a consideration of all the options that would be examined for patients with a decision-maker. As the culture of medicine exists in support of health and life, and as permitting death may yet be in the best interest of the patient, it is often helpful to convene the members of the care team in order to permit all medical voices to be heard and to reach a consensus that WWO or the NRO is appropriate.